



# MAIL STOP AF

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:		
Yusuke Kohyama )	Group Art Unit: 2823	
Serial No.: 09/892,713	) Examiner: L. Pham	
Filed: June 28, 2001	Atty. Dkt. No. 01701.00090	
For: Electric Fuse Whose Dielectric  Breakdown Resistance Is  Controlled By Injecting Impurities  Into An Insulating Film Of A  Capacitor Structure, And A Method  For Manufacturing The Same	This paper of 2 pages is being faxed to 703 872 9319 on September 3, 2003.  Christopher R. Glembocki Reg. No. 38.800	

## Request to Withdraw Finality of Office Action

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 MAIL STOP AF Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Final Office Action of August 18, 2003, has been carefully reviewed. For the following reasons, Applicant requests the Examiner to withdraw the finality of the office action as it was improperly made final.

On December 6, 2002, Applicant responded to the Office Action of September 6, 2002,



# PATENT MAIL STOP AF

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application	of:	
Yusuke Kohyama	)	Group Art Unit: 2823
Serial No.: 09/892,713		Examiner: L. Pham
Filed: June 28, 20	01	Atty. Dkt. No. 01701.00090
Breakdow Controlled Into An In Capacitor	nse Whose Dielectric ) n Resistance Is ) l By Injecting Impurities ) sulating Film Of A ) Structure, And A Method ) facturing The Same )	This paper of 2 pages is being faxed to 703 872 9319 on September 3, 2003.  Christopher R. Glembocki Reg. No. 38.800

### Request to Withdraw Finality of Office Action

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 MAIL STOP AF Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Final Office Action of August 18, 2003, has been carefully reviewed. For the following reasons, Applicant requests the Examiner to withdraw the finality of the office action as it was improperly made final.

On December 6, 2002, Applicant responded to the Office Action of September 6, 2002, by canceling rejected claims 1, 2, and 5 and rewrote objected to claims 3 and 4 in independent form. The August 18, 2003, Office Action now rejects claim 3 and dependent claim 19 over a new grounds of rejection. While the Examiner indicates that the Final Office Action was necessitated by Applicant's amendment, this is incorrect. The new rejection of claim 3 was not necessitated by Applicant as Applicant had only placed objected to claim 3 in independent form.

#### Yusuke Kohyama - U.S. Serial No. 09/892,713

See MPEP 706.07(c), 706.07(d), and 706.07(e).

Applicant specifically requests the Office Action be reissued as a non-final office action or the Examiner fax a notice to this effect (that the finality has been withdrawn) to the undersigned. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to further prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph M. Potenza

Registration No. 28,175

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1001 G Street, N.W., 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 824 3000 (202) 824 3001 (fax)

Dated:

September 3, 2003